



# MSP NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, 18th of January 2017 at 1:30pm

Richfield Municipal Building, Council Chambers

#### Call to Order

A regularly-scheduled meeting of the MSP Noise Oversight Committee, having been duly called, was held Wednesday, 18th of January 2017, in the Council Chambers at the Richfield Municipal Building. Chair Hart called the meeting to order at 1:36pm. The following were in attendance:

Representatives: P. Dmytrenko; K. Erazo; T. Foster; A. Moos; J. Miller; L. Olson; D.

Miller; J. Hart; G. Goss; J. Oleson; J. Bergman

Staff: D. Nelson; B. Juffer, C. Leqve; A. Kolesar; G. Warren; J. Lewis; B. Ryks

Others: G. Putnam-City of Mpls; L. Grotz-City of Edina: J. Aul-City of

Bloomington; D. Nuccio-Housing and Urban Development; D. Swan-City of Mendota Heights; M. McNeil-City of Mendota Heights; M. Resanglz-City of Richfield; B. Hoffman-City of St. Louis Park; Dan Boivin – MAC Chairman; Katie Clark Sieben – MAC Commissioner

The meeting started with Metropolitan Airports Commission Chairman, Dan Boivin, introducing newly appointed Commissioner Katie Clark Sieben. Clark Sieben is to replace Lisa Peilen on the MAC Board. Among other things, Clark Sieben is the former Commissioner for Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED).

## 1. Review and Approval of the November 16, 2016 Meeting Minutes

**Chair Hart, Delta,** requested a motion to approve the minutes from the November 2016 NOC meeting, **Representative Oleson** made the motion with a second from **Representative Miller** and was passed unanimously.

## 2. NOC Community Co-Chair Nomination and Election

Chair Hart, Delta, introduced the need for a vote for an interim co-chair for 6 months. Then the voting cycle for a 2 year term will align with the other 2 year terms. Representative Dmytrenko, Richfield, nominated Representative Dianne Miller, Eagan, with a second from Representative Bergman, Apple Valley. The motion carried unanimously.

3. Review of Monthly Operations Reports: November and December 2016

Brad Juffer, Assistant Technical Advisor reported 7,244 aircraft noise complaints in November and 6,318 aircraft noise complaints in December were recorded for MSP. Complaints in

November 2016 were up 4.2% from November 2015, while complaints in December 2016 were up 6.8% from December 2015. In November, 304 locations filed a complaint related to MSP, dropping further to 183 locations in December. This equates to an average of 24 complaints per location in November increasing to 34 complaints per location in December. The year-end complaint total for 2016 was 116,958 which is an increase of 3.8%. Those complaints were filed from 2,805 locations in 2015 decreasing to 2,711 locations in 2016 or a reduction of 3.4%. In 2016 the top 10 locations filing complaints contributed 36.1% of the nearly 117,000 complaints for the year. The top 50 filed 66.2% of the complaints while the top 100 locations submitted nearly 80% of all complaints. On the opposite end of this spectrum is the largest group. In 2016, 2,068 unique addresses or 76% of all locations filed less than 10 complaints.

**Juffer** reported that the Noise Office recorded 32,102 operations at MSP in November and that number increased to 33,103 in December. Those totals were 0.6% and 2.3% higher than the same months of 2015. Year-to-date the noise office recorded 410,887 flights at MSP. This total is 2.1% above last year. **Juffer** surmised that the FAA's final number for MSP will be just under 413,000 operations. 3.1 million people flew through MSP in October followed by 2.78 million in November. On average 98 people were on every airplane in October with that number falling to 92 in November.

**Juffer** reported November and December collectively saw a 43/57% split between regional jets and mainline aircraft. 2015 was 45/55%. 61,266 operations occurred between 6:00 AM and 10:30 PM. The remaining 3,939, or 6% of aircraft operated during MSP nighttime. Last year for the same time period, 3,472 operated at night which equates to 5.4%. In November, most of the deviation from scheduled to actual occurred in the 10:30 PM, 12 AM, and 5:00 AM hours. 996 of the scheduled and 1,274 of the actual flights were arrivals, leaving 194 of the scheduled and 411 of the actual for departures. In December, 1,159 of the scheduled and 1,438 of the actual flights were arrivals, leaving 269 of the scheduled and 604 of the actual for departures. The cargo activity was expected to rise in December to meet the Christmas demand. But the scheduled nighttime flights did not happen. In November there were 124 scheduled and 128 actual cargo flights. In December there were 156 scheduled but only 127 actual flights at night. To break it down even further, there were higher than normal nighttime operations on November 18, nearly double a typical night due to snow and higher than normal on 11/22-11/23 for freezing rain and then on 11/27-11/28 for rain and thunderstorms. In December snow caused delays and increased nighttime ops on 12/16-12/17 and again on 12/27.

**Juffer** moved on to report on compliance with noise abatement procedures. For Runway 17, 99.3% and 99.1% of all jets complied with the Runway 17 Departure procedure in November and December, respectively. 77 total jets for the 2 months were west of the 2.5 turn point.

Regarding the corridor – 95.1% and 94.9% of all 12L/12R carrier jets remained in the corridor in November and December. There were 48 south bound deviations on 11/18 due to snow, high northeast, north, northwest winds; 31 south bound deviations on 12/16 due to snow; 48 deviations on Christmas due to rain, high winds. The crossing procedure was used 42% in November and 54% in December during the nighttime hours. During the day time, the percentages dropped to 32% in November and 28% in December.

**Juffer** reported that high priority runways were used 56.5% in November, and very similar in December with 55%. These two months were the highest utilization of the RUS since we began

tracking this metric. Mixed A (departures on Runway 17 and arrivals and departures on Runways 30L and 30R) was used for 16% of the hours in both months. In November, 56% of all arrivals used a high priority runway; 54% during the morning shoulder and 46% in the evening shoulder, the nighttime percentage was 49%. 57% of all departures used a high priority runway; 62% in the morning and 60% in the evening and night. To have 56% of arrivals and 57% of departures on high-priority runways is the direct result of high Mixed-A usage. The airport was in a North flow in December more than in November. As such, Runways 30L and 30R saw an increase in arrivals and accounted for most of the 67% high priority runway use for arrivals in December; 71% in morning, 66% in evening and 70% at night. In December, 43% of departures used high priority runways; 34% in morning and evening and 30% at night.

**Juffer** presented the runway use year-end summary. 50.1% of all arrivals used a high-priority runway in 2016. Runway 35 arrivals dropped to under 10,000 arrivals, short of 5% of all the arrivals at MSP. As a result, arrivals on Runways 30L and 30R were not balanced as Runway 30L took more of the arrivals that would have previously used Runway 35. Runway 12R was used slightly more than 12L as arrivals to that runway can be spaced closer together than they are on 12R. 56.5% of all departures used the high-priority runways. Runway 17 handled 1/3 of all departures at MSP for 2016 with 23% of the departures on the 12s.The 30s saw a slight imbalance of departures with 22.2% on the North parallel and 21.1% on the South. This imbalance is also the result of CRO as ATC favored arrivals on the South parallel and departures on the North parallel during peak traffic hours. The overall use of high priority runways for 2016 was 53.3%

**Representative Olson, Minneapolis**, asked for a reminder on what the Mixed-A flow is. **Juffer** responded that the Mixed A is when arrivals are on Runways 30L and 30R with departures mostly on 17 and some on Runways 30L and 30R, depending on the aircraft destination.

4. Update on Converging Runway Operations-Kurt Mara, FAA Traffic Management Officer Kurt Mara, FAA Traffic Management Officer, reported that FAA at MSP isn't in a settled state with CRO but the hope is by spring 2017, they will be more settled. In September the FAA conducted a safety risk management review of using the simultaneous independent arrival departure windows (ADWs). Mara explained, there is one ADW for Runway 30R and a second and separate ADW for Runway 30R. The converging runway point for the first ADW is in the sky above Mother Lake. The second convergence point is over Lake Nokomis. Considering all the factors and requirements, the risk management review decided it was very minimal risk to safety and said that with the mitigation in place, the procedures are approved. After that there was training simulated for air traffic controllers. In January the ATC Tower started using both ADWs at the same time. The tower has started to tweak the process a bit to make everything more efficient and safer. The balance of the runway use was off and with this new process, the departures are more evenly distributed on 30L/30R. This will also reduce departure delays. Depending on winds and weather, with this new procedure, ATC will stay in north flow all day long. This will help improve the throughput capacity and the air and ground delay will be reduced. On the Alternative Means of Compliance Waiver, the FAA Central service area had been working to determine a northern departure heading off of 30R that avoids the virtual intersection point. The original request required more information and the research shows that the tracks are not consistent enough. At this point, this option is not actively being pursued.

Representative Olson, Minneapolis asked Mara what percentage of the departures will be utilizing the runways. Mara responded that it's typically 50/50 but also is dependent on what the

aircraft destination is. He said that 30L is favored a bit more since there are more south destinations. Olson then asked what percentage of flights will be on the north flow versus the south flow. Mara responded that if you look at historical data (prior to 2015) there is a pattern of 60% north flow and 40% south flow, depending on winds, weather, and patterns. Due to CRO, that has flipped to be about 60% south flow and 40% north. Moving forward, the goal is to be as close to 50/50 as possible but there may still be more departures to the northwest. Representative Goss, Delta, made a comment related the departures on 30L and the fanning of the tracks and if Mara had seen other airports with runways that made this same pattern that took other measures to encourage the departures to follow the tracks. Mara said that there are a lot of questions about who follows the procedure, when do they follow it, who regulates it etc. The focus is to refine the current process but exploring that may be an option in the future. Dana Nelson, **Technical Advisor,** mentioned there was an email sent out with a memo from Barry Cooper, FAA Regional Manager, in response to a resolution that was passed by the NOC. Barry acknowledged the resolution, the environmental impacts of CRO, the impacts of capacity as a result of CRO, as well as a recognition of the strong partnership between NOC and FAA and the importance for that to continue.

## 5. Noise Program Communication Enhancement Plan Update

Dana Nelson, Technical Advisor, updated the group on the noise enhancement program as well as the 4 tactics. The first being the noise videos, of which the first has been published. The noise office has created brochures for residents explaining what it means to live by the airport and the roles and responsibilities of the MAC and FAA. Another tactic was the community engagement plan. that included interviews and public input. That feedback created a change in the format for the Public Input Meetings which are now being called Listening Sessions. The schedule is the same and will be held quarterly. The spring and summer sessions will be held in the community and invite opportunities for that. The agenda, announcements, and calendar details will continue. Attendees of the meeting will be invited for more participation to foster engagement for everyone in the meeting. Then there will be a presentation as well as a two way dialogue instead of the response via letter at a later date. As part of these meetings, some communities have a break out session as a part of the meeting and the noise office would like discussion on that idea as well as other input and suggestions. Representative Olson, Minneapolis, applauded the noise team on the history of engagement as well as making it a priority for the future. Since there aren't going to be documented responses to the questions, Representative Olson suggested an audio recording or minutes taken at the meeting.

## 6. Evaluate Steeper Glide Slopes for Aircraft Arrivals

Dana Nelson, Technical Advisor, reminded the group that part of the 2017 NOC work plan included an investigation into steeper glide slopes; this was a result of studies taken place in Europe. Instrument Landing System (ILS) is comprised of the glide slope to provide vertical guidance as well as a localizer to provide lateral guidance. ICAO set an international standard to be 3 degrees. Some airports user higher slopes due to terrain. Two international airports have analyzed or implemented another glide slope with the goal of noise reduction. Frankfurt has a 3.2 degree slope for their new runway and London Heathrow conducted a 6 month trial of a 3.2 degree glide slope; the latter is not operational yet. After a simulation trial, Frankfurt showed that a 3.2 degree glide slope allowed aircraft to be 246 feet higher when they intercepted the ILS. They also deduced that anything above 3.2 degrees required procedural changes that negated the goal of reduced noise from flying higher. Frankfurt then installed 2 additional ILS systems at the cost of 3.5 million dollars which brought the total on that runway to 4. After 2 years of use and daily noise monitoring the 7 noise monitors recorded noise reductions between 0.56dB and 1.5dB. The human ear can only perceive a reduction of 3dB. In 2014 the 3.2 degree glide slope became standard for that runway.

London Heathrow preformed a 6 month trial of the 3.2 degree slope by amending the existing RNAV procedure. The pilot had the option to fly the RNAV 3.2 degree slope or the traditional ILS 3.0 degree glide slope based on weather conditions. During the trial they had 2.2% participation in the 3.2 degree RNAV arrival procedure. The height improvements were lower than expected. ATC and pilots reported that following the procedure did not impact their ability to manage speed, nor did it increase the number of go-arounds, nor did it increase workloads. Noise data was collected and their reduction range was 1.4dB to a slight increase based on the noise monitor location. The average decrease was a 0.5dB reduction. Based on this, London Heathrow plans to add the 3.2 degree glide slope in their proposal to their government while they redesign the current airspace. Looking at MSP airspace and the 3.2 degree glide slope for arrivals would make aircraft 128 feet higher at about 6 miles from the airport. Noise monitoring data from St Louis Park was collected and based on the most common aircraft arriving on the 12s, the average dB rating is 69.1. Representative Goss, Delta, asked for clarification on the decrease in noise at the two airports. Nelson, responded between a 0.5dB and 1.5dB. Goss stated that Frankfurt spent 3 million dollars on that level of reduction and **Nelson** clarified that the entire project at Frankfurt was 3.3 million dollars. Goss followed up by stating that he had a number of concerns if this became a proposal at the MAC.

### 7. Second Amendment to the Consent Decree Update

Dana Nelson, Technical Advisor, A handout was provided at the start of the meeting to the NOC members containing a letter from the MAC legal department to the parties of the Consent Decree, stating that it has been approved by all parties and the FAA as a permissible use of airport revenue. This means the airport will switch from the Integrated Noise Model (INM) tool to the Aviation Environment Design Tool (AEDT), the FAA's new tool of evaluating noise impact. Clarification on opt out provisions is in the amended Consent Decree as well. If a home owner had previously opted out of the partial program and becomes eligible for the full 5dB reduction program, they may participate. Next, the amendment will go to the Hennepin County Court and need to be approved, that date will be set soon. Then the noise team will move forward with the 2016 annual noise contour report will be done in AEDT. This all couldn't have come together without the help of Loren Olson and John Quincy from Minneapolis, Dianne Miller from Eagan, and Pam Dmytrenko from Richfield.

#### 8. Public Comment Period

**Representative Olson, Minneapolis,** introduced resident **Peter Nussbaum** to speak in front of the NOC. Nussbaum lives east of Lake Harriet in Mpls and is placed between 12L and 12R however is not qualified for noise mitigation. The RMT located near his home has recorded aircraft events over 65dB have increased from 3,300 in June 2015 to 4,200 in June 2016. **Nussbaum** joined MSP Fair Skies to advocate solutions and transparency for noise mitigation.

The glide slope was a big disappointment because of the discrepancy between the anticipated noise reduction and the actual reduction when results came in from Frankfurt and Heathrow. However, **Nussbaum** would like to see the MAC pursue other option for mitigation that are used in Europe, such as Amsterdam's landscaping project to reduce noise pollution. Many European airports offer financial disincentives for evening and nighttime flights and he would like a greater understanding of why that isn't happening at MSP. Another financial disincentive used in Europe is for noisier aircraft, related to that, **Nussbaum** asked if the new A321s have the new engine option or if they have the old option. **Chair Hart, Delta,** responded that they do not have the new engine option but that they are coming with vortex generators. **Representative Goss, Delta,** added that there will be baffling in the exhaust which makes them quieter than the 319s and 320s. **Nussbaum** continued

that current noise impact research says that 55dB should be the standard and as such is requesting that the MAC publish the 55dB contours as part of their monthly report and in addition to that, publish the 55dB N<sup>X</sup> (number of events that exceed a certain noise threshold) and also mentioned the need for the airport to charge differential landing fees as a noise reduction tactic. **Dana Nelson, Technical Advisor,** responded that there are certain grant assurances imposed on an airport that uses federal funding for operations. Part of these grant assurances is that the airport cannot unjustly discriminate who uses the airport, or make decisions that would place an undue burden on interstate commerce. This is why the airport can't impose differential landing fees based on aircraft type or based on the time of day. In order to do any of that, the airport would need to go through a Part 161 study, that's an application process to the FAA. That came from the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990. Thus far, airports that have applied for a 161 restriction have not been approved. Some airports that had curfews or restrictions prior to the act of 1990, had those grandfathered in when the act took place. The landscaping option is on the 2017 NOC work plan. **Olson** followed up by saying that the FAA is undertaking an effort to look at how they can measure noise differently. The NOC has that on their 2017 work plan as well.

#### 9. Announcements

Chair Hart, Delta, announced that the world's largest cargo carrier just landed at MSP and is scheduled to depart MSP at 2:30am.

### 10. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 3:02p.m.

The next meeting of the NOC is scheduled for Wednesday, 15 March 2017.

Respectfully Submitted, Amie Kolesar, Recording Secretary