

NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE May 16, 2018

Audio recordings are made of this meeting

ITEM 1 REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MARCH 21, 2018 MEETING MINUTES







ITEM 2 REVIEW OF MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORTS: MARCH AND APRIL 2018



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018



MSP OPERATIONS



MSP OPERATIONS

MARC	H 2018	APRIL 2018						
35,397 Operations	2,381 Nighttime Operations (10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)	32,810 Operations	2,191 Nighttime Operations (10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)					



MSP OPERATIONS

MSP NIGHTIME OPERATIONS

MARCH - APRIL 2018



MAR-APR RUNWAY USE

34,124 ARRIVALS RECORDED

54.4% RUNWAYS 30L, 30R OR 35

45.2% RUNWAYS 12L OR 12R

34,083 DEPARTURES RECORDED

46.9% RUNWAYS 30L OR 30R

51.3% RUNWAYS 12L, 12R OR 17



RUNWAY USE

	MARCH 2018		APRIL 2018						
NORTH FLOW	SOUTH FLOW	MIXED FLOW	NORTH FLOW	SOUTH FLOW	MIXED FLOW				
32%	53%	9%	42%	35%	11%				





CARRIER JET FLEET MIX



2018 MSP CARRIER JET USAGE WITH CUMULATIVE CERTIFICATED NOISE LEVELS

CUMULATIVE CERTIFICATED NOISE LEVELS REPRESENTED AS A RANGE TO ACCOUNT FOR MULTIPLE CERTIFICATION VARIABLES (WEIGHT, MODEL, ENGINE TYPE, AIRFRAME CONFIGURATION, ETC)

MSP COMPLAINTS



MSP COMPLAINTS

		MARCH 2	018			APRIL 20	18			
CO	MPLAINTS		LOCATIONS		COMPLAINTS		LOCATIONS			
	10,799		228		8,607		268 New Locations Average Me			
Operati	ons per Complain	New Locations	Average	Median	Operations per Complaint	New Locations	Median			
	3.3	17	47	5	3.8	27	4			
18,000 16,000 14,000 12,000 10,000 8,000 6,000 4,000 2,000 0	7,444 9,629 11,501 12,139 11,138	6,264 5,227 6,054 6,977 9,974		9,244 6,955 5,913 5,547 7,594 13,196		7,457 12,012 13, 13, 12,55	14,61/ 16,293 16,727 15,282 10,844 8,929	7,184 5,834 6,018 10,799 8,607		
	MAY-14 JUL-14 SEP-14	NOV-14 JAN-15 MAR-15	MAY-15 JUL-15 SEP-15	NOV-15 JAN-16 MAR-16	MAY-16 JUL-16 SEP-16 NOV-16	JAN-17 MAR-17 MAY-17	JUL-17 SEP-17 NOV-17	JAN-18 MAR-18		



MARCH LOCATIONS

145 AREAS WITH AT LEAST 1 LOCATION

O AREAS WITH MORE THAN 10 LOCATIONS – 0%

2 AREAS WITH 6 – 10 LOCATIONS – 1.4%

8 AREAS WITH 4 OR 5 LOCATIONS – 5.5%

135 AREAS WITH 1 – 3 LOCATIONS – 93.1%

KANE Coon Rapids Mounds View Brooklyn Park White Bear Lake Shoreview White Bear New Brighton + MIC Vadnais Heights Township den Valle Minneapolis Saint Paul Maplewood . Louis Park STP Minnetonka West Saint Paul South St. Pau Chanhassen State Park Eden Prairie Inver Grove Bloomington **Cottage Grove** FCM Shakopee Hasting Ilife Refuge Murphy-Hanrehan

APRIL LOCATIONS

160 AREAS WITH AT LEAST 1 LOCATION

1 AREAS WITH MORE THAN 10 LOCATIONS – 0.6%

1 AREAS WITH 6 – 10 LOCATIONS – 0.6%

10 AREAS WITH 4 OR 5 LOCATIONS – 6.3%

148 AREAS WITH 1 – 3 LOCATIONS – 92.5%



TOTAL COMPLAINTS

195 AREAS WITH AT LEAST 1 LOCATION

13 AREAS WITH MORE THAN 300 COMPLAINTS – 6.7%

25 AREAS BETWEEN 60 AND 300 COMPLAINTS – 12.8%

60 AREAS BETWEEN 8 AND 60 COMPLAINTS – 30.8%

97 AREAS WITH LESS THAN 8 COMPLAINTS- 49.7%



TOP 10 LOCATIONS

FILED **11,930** (61.5%) COMPLAINTS DURING THE PREVIOUS 2 MONTHS

8 OF 10 LOCATIONS WERE IN THE TOP 10 FOR JANUARY / FEBRUARY DATA

241 (67.5%) LOCATIONS FILED 10 OR LESS COMPLAINTS

SOUND MONITORING

	MARCH 2018		APRIL 2018						
Time Above	43 s TA ⁶⁵ per operation	425_h 9_m TA ⁶⁵	Time Above	42 s TA ⁶⁵ per operation	381_h 17_m TA ⁶⁵				
Count Above	2.43 N ⁶⁵ per operation	86,128 N ⁶⁵	Count Above	2.33 N ⁶⁵ per operation	76,429 N ⁶⁵				

MAY-14	457 H 11 M 54 S
JUL-14	488 H 40 M 40 S 470 H 28 M 25 S
SEP-14	451 H 39 M 9 S 447 H 42 M 6 S
NOV-14	496 H 43 M 24 S 352 H 20 M 29 S
JAN-15	∶╢┷║ᅑ
M	268 H 15 M 48 S
	436 H 40 M 32 S
MAY-15	474 H 6 M 9 S 483 H 12 M 14 S
JUL-15	474 H 44 M 25 S 500 H 27 M 47 S
SEP-15	455 H 51 M 1 S
NOV-15	484 H 41 M 54 S
JAN-16	342 H 53 M 13 S
MAR-16	363 H 21 M 15 S 520 H 10 M 38 S
MAY-16	506 H 10 M 32 S 470 H 22 M 29 S
JUL-16	499 H 53 M 51 S 487 H 26 M 31 S
	503 H 50 M 48 S
SEP-16	543 H 51 M 38 S
NOV-16	490 H 4 M 28 S
JAN-17	363 H 58 M 45 S
MAR-17	391 H 31 M 59 S 481 H 6 M 28 S
MAY-17	509 H 7 M 5 S 513 H 41 M 26 S
JUL-17	481 H 47 M 7 S 454 H 29 M 52 S
SEP-17	506 H 47 M 37 S 444 H 17 M 9 S
	505 H 44 M 23 S
NOV-17	437 H 46 M 14 S 365 H 26 M 25 S
JAN-18	
MAR-18	381 H 17 M 54 S

SOUND MONITORING

	MARCH 2018		APRIL 2018						
Time Above	43 s TA ⁶⁵ per operation	425_h 9_m TA ⁶⁵	Time Above	42 s TA ⁶⁵ per operation	381_h 17_m TA ⁶⁵				
Count Above	2.43 N ⁶⁵ per operation	86,128 N ⁶⁵	Count Above	2.33 N ⁶⁵ per operation	76,429 N ⁶⁵				

120,000

о МАҮ-14	20,000	40,000	60,000	80.000 5	100,000
JUL-14				95,138 92,242 92,018	
SEP-14			, 85 9	85,993 90,830	
NOV-14		68	,060 75,41	2	
JAN-15		68, 56,930			
MAR-15			83,155 82,744	155	
MAY-15			õ	89,774 93,827	
JUL-15				95,333 97.919	
SEP-15			õ	89,765	1
NOV-15			8	95,859 89,373	
JAN-16		20		606	
MAR-16			0,424	98,466	
MAY-16			0,	94,149 91,967	
11.16				98,244 99 132	
-					
SEP-16				96,602 101 946	
NOV-16			0,	00,00	<u> </u>
JAN-17			77,125		
MAR-17			9; 0; 0	4 93,252 95 553	
MAY-17				97,238	
JUL-17				95,365 94,436	
SEP-17			87	98,847 7,883	
NOV-17			83	95,472 ,362	
JAN-18		 Γ	73,949 097		
MAR-18		57,731	86	,128	
			76,429	6	

	MARC	H 2018		APRIL 2018							
Runway 17	99.2%	Cross Day	31.6%	Runway 17	99.6%	Cross Day	Day 36.8%				
Corridor	89.6%	Cross Night	29.4%	Corridor	87.4%	Cross Night	32.0%				
RUS	52.8%	Arrive – 47%	Depart – 58%	RUS	52.9%	Arrive – 62%	Depart – 44%				



98.0%																								
98.0%	AY-14	UL-14	EP-14	JV-14	AN-15	4R-15	AY-15	UL-15	EP-15	JV-15	AN-16	4R-16	AY-16	UL-16	EP-16	JV-16	AN-17	4R-17	AY-17	UL-17	EP-17	JV-17	AN-18	AR-18
	Σ		S	ž	ſ	ž	Σ	J	S	ž	ſ	Ě	Σ		S	ž	ſ	ž	Σ		S	ž	۔ ۲	Σ́

					MAR	CH 2	2018										ŀ	\PRI	L 201	8				
Run	nway	17		99.2	%		Cros	s Day		31	.6%		Run	way	17	9	9.6%		С	oss	Day		36.8	%
Сс	orrido	or		89.6	%		Cross	s Nigh	t	29	.4%		Со	rrido	r	8	7.4%		Cro	oss N	light		32.0	%
F	RUS			52.8	8%	ļ	Arrive	- 47%		Depar	t – 58	3%	F	RUS		5	2.9%	,	Arri	ve – (62%	De	part –	44%
100% -																								
98% -						. _					_			-	₹		_					┝╌┲╌┨	L.	
96% -	/	/ =			\backslash				\checkmark		/		_	<u> </u>										
94% -					¥		P	 					F			-	-		/					
92% -																								
90% -																								
88% -							V											•						
86% -																								
84% -																								
82% -																								
80% -	4	4	4	4	15	15	15	15	15	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	17	17	17	17	17	17	18	18
	MAY-14	JUL-	SEP-	- VON	JAN-	MAR-	ҮАМ	JUL-15	SEP.	NON	-NAL	MAR-	YAМ	JUL-	SEP-	- VON	JAN-	MAR-	ҮАМ	JUL-	SEP.	>0N	JAN-	MAR-18

				MARC	CH 2	018									A	PRI	L 20′	8				
Ru	nway ⁻	17	99.2	%	(Cross	: Day	3	81.6%		Run	way [^]	17	99	9.6%		С	oss	Day		36.8	%
C	orrido	ſ	89.6	%	C	Cross	Night	2	29.4%		Co	rrido	r	87	7.4%		Cr	oss N	light		32.0	%
	RUS		52.8	8%	A	rrive –	47%	Depa	art – 58	8%	F	RUS		5	2.9%		Arri	ve – (62%	De	part –	44%
0.001								- - -D,	AY USA	GE -	– ● −NIG	HT US	AGE									
80%																						
70%		\wedge																				
60%		_/			Å	•		\wedge							۶							
50%				\frown					/		,	!				\						
40%	•													\bigvee				\backslash		-	~	\
30%	┏━┛╲	<u></u>	<u>م</u>		┛┓			-0_0_						┝──┓	•		X					
	L																			\bigvee		e
20%																						
10%																						
0%									10	10	10	10										
	MAY-14	SEP-14	NOV-14	JAN-15	MAR-15	MAY-15	JUL-15 SEP-15	NOV-15	JAN-16	MAR-16	MAY-16	JUL-16	SEP-16	NOV-16	N - 17	MAR-17	MAY-17	JUL-17	SEP-17	NOV-17	JAN-18	MAR-18
	AM I	с Ш	0 Z	٩L	MA	ΜA	JL SE	0 Z	ЧA	MA	MA	٦L	SE	0 N	٩L	MA	MA	٦٢	SE	0 N	٩L	MA

	MARC	H 2018			APRI	L 2018	
Runway 17	99.2%	Cross Day	31.6%	Runway 17	99.6%	Cross Day	36.8%
Corridor	89.6%	Cross Night	29.4%	Corridor	87.4%	Cross Night	32.0%
RUS	52.8%	Arrive – 47%	Depart – 58%	RUS	52.9%	Arrive – 62%	Depart – 44%
30,000			ARR	DEP -⊡-RUS			60%
25,000							55%
20,000						, 204	50%
883748 11,432 12,000	9,535 9,535 8,519 8,519 5,491 8,564 8,564		11,656 9,175 10,397 8,542 7,289 5,751 10,463	11,069 10,369 10,385 10,947 11,256	11,090 9,185 7,081 6,957 6,221 9,216 8,279	7,860 8,326 11,720 10,23 9,025 8,260	6,398 6,636 6,636 7,186 7,149 7,149
4 0	2,20	3	8 05 72 987		9 64 64 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	10,982 10,881 18 11,116 4 ,713 200	11,853 10,896 9,417 346 10,208
2,362 2,362	9,362 9,362 8,685 12 10,42 8,357 9,570	9, ,4 ,1(9,	5,229 8,418 6,045 9,005 9,072 9,072 9,98	5,888 8,187 9,030 9,640 9,384 6,841	7,25/ 8,939 11,17 9,964 9,140 9,369	10,98 10,88 7,918 11,11 7,564 9,713 9,200	11, 10,8 9,417 9,417 8,346 10,20
0	4 4 τ τ 4 4 τ τ			6 6 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		φ φ 30%
MAY-14	SEP-14 SEP-14 NOV-14 JAN-15	MAR-15 MAY-15 JUL-15	SEP-15 NOV-15 JAN-16 MAR-16	MAY-16 JUL-16 SEP-16	NOV-16 JAN-17 MAR-17	MAY-17 JUL-17 SEP-17 NOV-17	JAN-18 MAR-18

ITEM 3 NOC BYLAW SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

MAC

NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018



- In January, the NOC established a Bylaw Review Subcommittee in response to a request from MSP FairSkies to "Enhance the NOC with greater stakeholder (citizen) representation"
- The following NOC members volunteered to participate:
 - Alex Mason (Endeavor Air)
 - Dwayne Lowman (Council Member City of Bloomington)
 - Capt. Gordy Goss (Delta Air Lines Chief Pilot)
 - Loren Olson (City of Minneapolis)
- The group established the following objective at its February 14 meeting:



Evaluate the Committee Meeting structure of the NOC Bylaws, identify opportunities for improved citizen input during meetings, and develop recommended changes for consideration by the full NOC. The Subcommittee met on Feb 14 and April 4 and focused on the following sections of the NOC Bylaws:

ARTICLE VIII Committee Meetings

- 4. Time and location of NOC meetings
- 5. Items added to future agendas
- 6. Public comment period at NOC meetings



Subsection 4 - time and location of NOC meetings. The following ideas were discussed and received support from the Subcommittee:

- NOC members are strongly suggested to attend Listening Sessions when able.
- Hold one evening meeting by the NOC each year (suggested November for approval of the Work Plan).
- Changing the time and location of the NOC meetings should be further evaluated in the future.
- The Subcommittee members agreed that this section of the Bylaws should be amended to allow more flexibility for evening meetings.



Subsection 5 – Items added to future agendas. The following ideas were discussed and received support from the Subcommittee:

 Due to the rigid and lengthy process for adding agenda items to NOC meeting agendas, the Bylaws should be revised to allow some flexibility for the Co-Chairs to add items to upcoming meetings at their discretion.



Subsection 6 – Public comment period at NOC meetings. The following ideas were discussed and received support from the Subcommittee:

- Remove the requirement in the Bylaws to have speakers sponsored by two (2) members of the Committee.
- Model the public comment period after "Citizens to be Heard" at city meetings using "I wish to speak" cards for individuals wishing to make a comment, then the acting Chairperson can make a final request for those wishing to speak who did not fill out a card.
- Move the comment period on the agenda to after the monthly operations update report, however keep this specificity out of the Bylaws to allow flexibility on the agenda structure.
- The time for the public comment period should be extended from the current fifteen (15) minutes to twenty (20) minutes with the ability to change it at meeting by majority vote.

Subsection 6 – Public comment period at NOC meetings. (Cont'd) The following ideas were discussed and received support from the Subcommittee:

- The time limit for individual speakers should continue to be three (3) minutes, but there are some situations where the acting chairperson may need to allow additional time and that ability should be reflected in the Bylaws.
- The NOC members should have the ability to ask clarifying questions of the speaker, if necessary.
- MAC Staff should provide the Co-Chairs with a prepared script to open up the public comment period of the meeting. The script would cover the public comment guidelines, such as speaking into the microphone, stating name and address, the speaker's comment time, and what the Committee does with comments.
- A section on the macnoise.com website should be created to provide public comment period guidelines at NOC meetings so individuals wishing to speak know what to expect. This would include the steps individuals wishing to speak should go through to provide comment, what they can expect at the meeting, and what the Committee does with comments.



- Proposed redline edits to the Bylaws were included in the NOC Agenda Packet.
- The Subcommittee proposes to allow time for members to deliberate the recommendations and come back with the action at the July 18, 2018 NOC meeting.



ITEM 4 EVALUATE MENDOTA HEIGHTS AIRPORT RELATIONS COMMISSION RUNWAY 12L DEPARTURE PROPOSAL











MSP SOUTH DEPARTURES - MARCH 2, 2018





Eagan/Mendota Heights Departure Corridor History

- Preferential Runway system, established in 1969, affirmed the use of the Corridor as the 1st priority for overflights.
- Corridor procedures were defined/implemented in 1974 and the existing procedures were established in the mid-1980s.
- In the early 1990s, the MAC made two proposals for altering Corridor operations, which were evaluated in the Part 150 Update:
 - Crossing-in-the-Corridor using low-demand flight tracks. These are runway heading for Runway 12L departures and a 105-degree heading for Runway 12R departures. This would place the departures near the middle of the Corridor near the 35E/494 interchange. These were included in the Noise Compatibility Plan and incorporated into the ATCT standard operating procedures.
 - Moving the 090-degree northern boundary to 095. The Part 150 Update found this option would reduce the available headings from 3 to 2 off Runway 12L and was dismissed as it would significantly reduce runway capacity and increase delay.



Runway 12L Departure Operational Trends (2001-2017)



Runway 12L & 12R Departure Operational Trends (2001-2017)



Runway 12L, 12R, 17 Departure Operational Trends (2001-2017)


ITEM 5 REVIEW AND DISCUSS RUNWAY USE SYSTEM PRIORITIES

MAC

NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018



Item 5: Review and Discuss Runway Use System Priorities

2017 Departures



• 31.0% all hours

• 6.3% at night

• 50.1% all hours

• 50.7% at night

St. Pa

Mendota Heights

Mendota Heights

Eagan

Eagan



Item 5: Review and Discuss Runway Use System Priorities

2017 Arrivals





Reporting the RUS under the Abatement tab of the Interactive Reports website <u>macenvironment.org/reports/</u>



Traffic Demand Pe	eriod Criteria
-------------------	----------------

Demano	d Period	Traffic Demand (Operations per 15-minute segment)	RUS Status
Lc	9W	Less than 3.5	Traffic levels allow for maximum flexibility in runway selection and RUS implementation, including the use of unique procedures such as Opposite Direction.
Μ	1id	Between 3.5 and 15	Traffic levels allow for efficient selection of runways based on noise considerations, given requirements for runway crossings, capacity, etc.; moderate use of the RUS.
Hi	igh	Greater than 15	The need to maintain operational capacity does not allow ATC flexibility in runway selection; limited use of the RUS.



Source: Table A-3 from Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)/Record of Decision (ROD); July 2003.

Item 5: Review and Discuss Runway Use System Priorities

Average Hourly Traffic Demand, 2017: Total Operations Green = Low Demand Yellow = Mid Demand Red = High Demand



ITEM 6 UPDATE ON THE FAA'S SURVEY TO RE-EVALUATE NOISE MEASUREMENT METHODS



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018



Item 6: Update on the FAA's Survey to Re-Evaluate Noise Measurement Metrics

- <u>May 2015</u> FAA announced it would begin updating the doseresponse relationship between noise exposure and the percentage of people who are highly annoyed
- This is a part of a larger effort by the FAA, which includes studying the relationship between noise and sleep disturbance, cardiovascular health, and children's cognitive learning
- FAA has indicated that the survey results are planned to be released in Q2 2018, however they are still undergoing inter-agency review (DOD and HUD)
- The results will be released in the form of a report, covering the purpose of the study, the scientific approach and the survey results
- FAA has stated that when the results will be released on their website and announced through the federal register to begin the process of taking stakeholder feedback
- The release of the results will not include any discussion on implications to changing policy





<u>The survey</u>

- A 12-question survey called the "Neighborhood Environmental Survey" was sent to residents around 20 airports throughout 2016 and early 2017
- The FAA is not releasing the airports involved in the survey
- The methodology behind the wording of the question, the position of the question within the survey and the selection of respondents were derived from and/or vetted through the International Committee on the Biological Effects of Noise (ICBEN), BTS, OMB, social scientists and a pilot project through ACRP
- Airports were selected using the following criteria
 - Needed at least 100 annual jet operations
 - Needed at least 100 households in the 65 DNL
 - Needed at least 100 households in the 60-65 DNL
- The 20 final airports were determined using Balanced Sampling, which ensures the cohort of airports matches the overall 95 airports on the following factors:
 - Geographic balance
 - Average temperature
 - Number of operations
 - % of nighttime operations
 - Fleetmix
 - Population in the vicinity of the airports



<u>The survey</u>

- Residents were selected by running noise contours in 5 dB bands from DNL 50-70 using flight data for the 20 airports
- Their response goal was to have at least 100 respondents per contour band for a total of 500 respondents per airport and a grand total of 10,000 respondents
- The FAA plans to use the Federal Register to publish a link to an FAA website to view the detailed noise annoyance survey report
- FAA has built a new section of this website with information on the fundamentals of noise and sound, community response to noise and history of noise: <u>https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/noise/history/</u>



ITEM 7 REVIEW OF THE SPRING LISTENING SESSION



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018



On April 25, 5 residents attended the Spring Listening Session at the MAC General Offices.

The attendees were from Minneapolis, Apple Valley and Eagan.

The meeting was also attended by representatives from FAA air traffic, MAC Commission, and Minneapolis.



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 16, 2018 MAC staff opened with an introduction and presentation. Slides are available at: <u>www.macnoise.com/sites/www.macenvironme</u> <u>nt.org/files/pdf/20180425_spring_1.pdf</u>

The open floor conversation focused on:

- Percentage of MD-80 and MD-90 aircraft operations
- MSP nighttime and early morning cargo operations
- Overall trends in operations, complaints and noise
- Area Navigation (RNAV) procedures at MSP
- MAC Residential Noise Mitigation Program

ITEM 8 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD







ITEM 9 ANNOUNCEMENTS

<u>Summer Listening Session</u> Tuesday, July 17, 2018 @ 7:00 PM

the first land

Richfield City Hall

<u>Next NOC Meeting</u> Wednesday, July 18, 2018 @ 1:30 PM MAC General Offices





and the first factor

