

≤

m

TROPOLI

NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE May 17, 2023

Audio recordings are made of this meeting

Agenda

1. Consent

1.1 – Approval of March 15, 2023 Meeting Minutes

1.2 – Reports

- 1.2.1 Monthly Operations Reports: March and April
- 1.2.2 Review of Spring Listening Session
- 1.2.3 MSP Construction Updates
- 2. Public Comment Period
- **3.** Business

4. Information

- 4.1 FAA Update on Noise Policy Review
- 4.2 FAA Update on the MSP VOR-MON and Procedure Development
- 4.3 FAA Update on Converging Runway Operations
- 5. Announcements

Adjourn



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

ITEM 1.2.1 MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORTS: MARCH & APRIL 2023





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

MSP TOTAL OPERATIONS



Marc	n 2023	April 2023						
27,186	2,000	25,712	1,824					
Operations	Nighttime Operations (10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)	Nighttime OperationsOperations(10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)						



MSP NIGHTTIME OPERATIONS



March	2023	April 2023						
27,186	2,000	25,712	1,824					
Operations	Nighttime Operations (10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)	Operations	Nighttime Operations (10:30 PM – 6:00 AM)					



MSP RUNWAY USE



	MAR 2023		APR 2023							
NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS	NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS					
34%	45%	13%	43%	37%	12%					

	2022 JAN – APR		2023 JAN – APR						
NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS	NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS				
49%	36%	7%	37%	42%	13%				





MSP OPERATIONS FLEET MIX



CARRIER JET FLEET MIX



MSP COMPLAINTS



	March	2023		April 2023							
COMPL	AINTS	LOCA	TIONS	COMPL	AINTS	LOCATIONS					
7,7	21	14	17	10,1	192	213					
Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median	Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median				
3.5	3.5 2 53 5				10	48 4					
25,000							,				
(Q										

20,000	17,745	18,693		19,592	20,37(
15,000	17,		16,082			15,480		12,341		13,105				35	9					14,404	667	14,202		591 17 601	12,031	77]			C	i				12,570							
10,000							10,967		9,473				7,484	11,105	10,756	7,963 8 498	8,410	7,092	6,035		11,667		10,261	10,691		11,006	9,652	8,700	7,209	7,431	9.926	8,899	9,332	8,522		8,187	8,193	/77/		6,286	7,721	10,192
5,000											2,854	4,208							6,0																				5,000	(9)		
0	May-19	Jun-19	Jul-19	Aug-19	Sep-19	Oct-19	Nov-19	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21 Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	ADr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22		Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Åpr-23

MSP COMPLAINT LOCATIONS



	March	2023			April	2023			
COMPL	COMPLAINTS LOCATIONS				AINTS	LOCATIONS			
7,7	21	14	17	10,1	192	213			
Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median	Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median		
3.5	2	53	5	2.5	10	48 4			





TOP 10 LOCATIONS

TOP 10 FILED **11,403 COMPLAINTS** OUT OF 17,913 (64%) DURING MAR – APR

7 OF 10 LOCATIONS FROM JAN – FEB TOP 10 (*)

164 LOCATIONS (68%) FILED 10 OR FEWER COMPLAINTS

SOUND MONITORING



			-		0000				
	March 2023		April 2023						
Time Above	46	346 h 46 m	Time Above	53	379 h 52 m				
	TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵		TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵				
Count Above	2.62	71,087	Count Above	2.84	72,954				
	N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵		N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵				
E									

ε	379 h 52	Apr-23
		Mar-23
	2	Feb-23
	291 h 08 m	Dec-22
	338 h 36 m	Nov-22
E	389 h 11 m	Oct-22
۶	(T)	Sep-22
٤		Aug-22
	357 h 56 m	Jul-22
4 W		May-22
12 m		Apr-22
c	372 h 19 m	Mar-22
	232 h 05 m	Feb-22
	241 h 01 m	Jan-22
	334 h 54 m	Dec-21
c	373 h 26 m	Nov-21
41 m	409 h	Oct-21
	363 h 51 m	Sep-21
	33	Jul-21
	308 h 31 m	Jun-21
	317 h 40 m	May-21
	320 h 48 m	Apr-21
	324 h 08 m	Mar-21
	183	Feb-21
	211 h	Jan-21
	2	Dec-20
		Nov-20
		Oct-20
	5	Sep-20
		Aug-20
		Jul-20
	103 n 02 m 127 h 33 m	Iviay-20 Jun-20
		Apr-20
5		Mar-20
	287 h	Feb-20
	334 h 24 m	Jan-20
		Dec-19
416 h 08 m		Nov-19
526 h 24 n		Oct-19
460 h 48 m		Sep-19
506 h 28 m		Aug-19
476 h 02 m		Jul-19
482 h 42 m		Jun-19
495 h 41 m		May-19
-		I

SOUND MONITORING



	March 2023		April 2023						
Time Above	46 TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	346 h 46 m TA ⁶⁵	Time Above	53 TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	379 h 52 m TA ⁶⁵				
Count Above	2.62 N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	71,087 N ⁶⁵	Count Above	2.84 N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	72,954 N ⁶⁵				





	Ma	arch 2023				Ap	o <mark>ril 20</mark> 2	23	
	Runwa	y 17	99.4%			Runway 17		99.2%	
	EMH C	orridor	87.4%			EMH Corrido	or	84.9%	
	Cross I Cross I		25.4% 36.5%			Cross Day Cross Night		24.2% 21.7%	
RUS	54.4%	Arrive - 53%	Depart	- 56%	RUS	54.7%		e - 61%	Depart - 48%

ITEM 2 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

ITEM 2 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Speaking at a Meeting

- Each speaker will have one opportunity to speak and is allotted three (3) minutes.
- When called upon to speak, speak clearly, state your name and address. If you are affiliated with any organization, please state your affiliation.
- Commenters shall address their comments to the NOC and not to the audience.
- Use of profanity, personal attacks, or threats of violence will not be tolerated.



4.1 – FAA UPDATE ON NOISE POLICY REVIEW





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

NGISE POLICY REVIEW

STANK!

DERAL

AV1

NISTRA

THE NOISE PROBLEM: THEN AND NOW



Each marker represents a unique complaint address







Range of Available Airports Curves





Federal Aviation Administration

NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY RESULTS

The Neighborhood Environmental Survey results support an observed increase in annoyance from aircraft noise:

- The results show a substantial increase in annoyance for the population living in the vicinity of airports
- The increase in annoyance is generally consistent across various levels of noise exposure



The new Survey was designed to use a consistent approach across each airport community surveyed. This has allowed for an enhanced ability to provide additional statistical information about the new results, such as the 95% Confidence Limits and range of results from each of the 20 airports, as shown on the plot above. This was not possible with the older Schultz Curve.









Distribution of Sub-topics for Additional Research (Number of Tags)

1,226 Tags			1,008 Submissions	972 Distinct Commenters		
No additional research	780			You ask in the summary of the FAA aircraft noise policy and research efforts "What, if any, additional investigation, analysis, or research should be undertaken" The answer is none.		
NAS Consensus Report on Health Effects	16	4		Commission the National Academies Division of Medicine to produce a consensus report on the health effects of noise and pollution. Commission the National Academies Division of Sciences to produce a consensus report on ultrafine particles.		
Impact of Next Gen or Metroplex	11	0	n addition to noise, narrow flight routes concentrate pollution and contaminants falling on people under those routes, potential impairing their lealth. Any study should monitor over time potential health effects of people living under these concentrated routes compared with people living vithin a few miles of these routes.			
Other/General	69			ategory of investigation, analysis, or research I recommend should be undertaken is to closely study and consider the number of not experience annoyance as it pertains to aircraft noise, and compare their lived experience to those in the cohort who do.		
Sleep Disturbance/Speech Disruption/Children's Learning	34		Research in aviation noise disturbance should focus on issues that are more pertinent to wide sectors of the population, for example, sleep disturbance and children's learning, not on niche issues such as cardiovascular health.			
Research by Flight Purpose/Aircraft Type	25		believe more needs to be done to characterize and respond to the disturbance created by touch and go operations, in addition to the larger area disturbance represented by large commercial jets.			
Land Use/Noise Mitigation Strategies	22	2 I hope the FAA will continue to research and develop equitable polices for the mitigation of aircraft noise.				
Property valuation and/or enjoyment	14	1 think another vector to study would be the source and duration of the noise - i.e., are new levels of noise more annoying than long-standing sources of noise? For example, I purchased my house ten years ago before recent changes to the flight patterns at DCA that take departing planes over our neighborhood. Had the change happened before I purchased my house, would I be less annoyed by the plane noise than a recent change that suddenly happened?				
Psychoacoustics	8	Joby recommends the FAA monitor ongoing industry and academic research into psychoacoustics. Psychoacoustic research seeks first to understand whether it is physically and physiologically possible to hear a sound, both in the absence and presence of background ambient noise environments.				



Distribution of Sub-topics for Noise Metrics and Thresholds (Number of Tags)









NOISE POLICY REVIEW

- In late 2021, the FAA initiated a review of our noise policy as part of our ongoing commitment to address aircraft noise. This effort will build on our work to advance the scientific understanding of noise impacts as well as the development of analytical tools and technologies.
- It will consider new evidence from the agency's noise research program, including from the Neighborhood Environmental Survey, and the distribution of environmental risks, tradeoffs, or externalities across communities.
- Goals
 - Identify and implement well-reasoned, scientifically-grounded noise policy updates that incorporate FAA's updated understanding of aviation noise and human response and the development of analytical tools and technologies to better manage and reduce the environmental impacts of aviation
 - Conduct an inclusive, transparent, and participatory process that prioritizes input from substantially affected stakeholders, including local communities



SCOPE OF NOISE POLICY REVIEW

- Focus on foundational elements of FAA's noise policy, including:
 - Metrics: hard look at DNL, consideration of other metrics (e.g., Number Above), and how they are calculated
 - Noise Thresholds: Consider NES findings and other research, investigate lowering below DNL 65 dBA the definition of the level of significant noise exposure for actions subject to environmental review requirements and modifying the definitions of the levels of noise exposure that are deemed to be "normally compatible" with airport operations, as set forth in Table 1 of Appendix A to Part 150.
 - For new metrics, consider whether it is appropriate to establish a noise threshold and its potential value



FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE (FRN)

- Published on May 1, 2023
- 90-day comment period ends July 31, 2023
- Includes a background on FAA Noise Policy
- Request for comments includes 11 questions
- Links to a companion <u>framing paper</u>
- Submit comments to <u>Docket FAA-2023-0855</u> at regulations.gov



FRAMING PAPER

- Entitled "The Foundational Elements of the Federal Aviation Administration Civil Aviation Noise Policy: The Noise Measurement System, its Component Noise Metrics, and Noise Thresholds"
- Intended to be read in parallel with FRN
- Provides additional context and discussion around the 11 questions included in the FRN
- Aimed at providing context for the review and helping stakeholders better understand the questions included in the FRN



Should FAA transition away from a noise policy with a single metric comprising the system in favor of an expanded system of metrics?

An expanded system of metrics may consider:

Vehicle Types	Analysis Purpose	Type of Analysis	
Aircraft	Environmental Review	Airfield Changes	
Helicopters	Land Use Planning	Airspace Changes	
Rockets	Eligibility Requirements	New Entrants	



An expanded system of metrics may include:

 Accounting for cumulative, operational/single-event, and low-frequency metrics for use alone, in combination, or in lieu of another metric? e.g., Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) in combination with Number Above an Lmax (NA) or others.



Example situations an expanded system of metrics may consider include:

 Accounting for operational considerations: vehicle types; location/resource affected; purpose of analysis; and type of analysis? e.g., Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) for commercial aircraft and land use planning purposes, but Time Above an Lmax (TA) for new entrants such as drones.



For example, FAA could review the following metrics that may comprise the system

AA	Cumulative	Cumulative/ Single Event	Other	
ne ics	Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL)	Number Above an L _{max} (NA)	FAA seeking feedback None identified at this time	
E	Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)	Time Above an L _{max} (TA)		
	School/Work Hour Equivalent Sound Level (L _{eq})	L _{max}		



- 1) Revisit the elements of the Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) by exploring the methods used for calculating it.
- 2) Examine existing noise thresholds and consider whether to:
 - Retain the current thresholds, with no change.
 - Set noise thresholds for any, some, or all the noise metrics in the system.
 - Change the metric and level used to define the threshold of significance and reportable impacts.
 - Revise the metric and level used to define compatible land use and noise sensitive uses.
- 3) Consider reviewing the noise policy at least once every 3-5 years to determine whether updates or revisions are necessary to respond to new information.



Potential Outcomes of Policy Changes

- Possible updates to regulations, orders, guidance, etc.
- Change level of review needed for a given action
- Improve FAA's communication about noise impacts to public

Policy Changes Will Not Affect . . .

- Current/existing aviation noise exposure
- Where/when aircraft currently fly
- Completed or ongoing
 environmental reviews



ENGAGEMENT



Was and announ

FAA NOISE POLICY REVIEW LANDING PAGE:

- FAA has published a **landing page** for the noise policy review <u>https://www.faa.gov/noisepolicyreview</u>
- The landing page will be revised as the noise policy review progresses.
- Landing page content will include:
 - Noise Policy Review information and status;
 - Framing Paper
 - Resources (education materials, videos, FAQs, primary sources, etc.);
 - Links to join virtual webinars; and
 - Link to subscribe to FAA project updates.

Noise Policy Review									
Project Information	Upcoming Community Engagement Opportunities	Noise Metrics FAQs	Aircraft Noise FAQs	Stay Informed	Contact Us				


NOISE POLICY REVIEW WEBINARS

Date	Time	How to Attend
Tuesday, May 16th, 2023	1:00 pm - 3:00 pm ET	 <u>Attend Through Zoom</u> Password: 059052 <u>YouTube Live Stream</u>
Thursday, May 18th, 2023	6:00 pm - 8:00 pm ET	 <u>Attend Through Zoom</u> Password: 007544 <u>YouTube Live Stream</u>
Tuesday, May 23rd, 2023	9:00 pm - 11:00 pm ET	 <u>Attend Through Zoom</u> Password: 170360 <u>YouTube Live Stream</u>
Thursday, May 25th, 2023	4:00 pm - 6:00 pm ET	 <u>Attend Through Zoom</u> Password: 561270 <u>YouTube Live Stream</u>



Webpage: <u>www.faa.gov/noisepolicyreview</u> Email: <u>NoisePolicyReview@faa.gov</u> Phone: 202-269-6999



LIST OF ACRONYMS

- AAD Average Annual Day
- CNEL Community Noise Equivalent Level
- dB Decibel
- dBA A-weighted decibel
- DNL Day-Night Average Sound Level
- FRN Federal Register Notice
- GA General Aviation
- L_{eq} Equivalent Sound Level
- L_{max} Maximum Sound Level
- NA Number Above

- NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
- NES Neighborhood Environmental Survey
 - NPR Noise Policy Review
- SAF Sustainable Aviation Fuels
- SEL Sound Exposure Level
- TA Time Above



4.2 – FAA UPDATE ON MSP VOR-MON AND PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

FAA MSP VOR Discontinuance

Project Update and Community Engagement

Presented to: Noise Oversight Committee

By: Nitin Rao, FAA

Date: May 17, 2023



MSP VOR Discontinuance

- Conventional departure procedures based on MSP VOR will be cancelled.
 - RNAV Vector Departures will be replacing these conventional procedures
- Goal is to replicate to the extent possible the current procedures in place.
 - Safety
 - Efficiency
 - Criteria (FAA Orders and operational and airworthiness guidance)



MSP VOR Discontinuance Status Update

- Project Design
 - Second round of design meetings this week
 - Core work group members: FAA, MAC, Industry
 - Procedure development and discussion
 - Hopeful to have the preliminary design completed by August 2023



FAA MSP Community Engagement Overview



FAA MSP Community Engagement

- FAA's Mission to Develop Safe and Efficient Procedures while considering stakeholder needs
- Community Engagement
 - National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements
 - FAA Community Engagement Policy
- Community Engagement will consist of both educational activities and community input.



FAA MSP Community Engagement (Cont.)



https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/community_engagement/msp



FAA MSP Community Engagement (Cont.)

• The FAA will continue to:

- Provide status updates to the MAC and NOC
- Meet with Congressional staff
- Update the FAA MSP Community Engagement Webpage
- Discuss and understand community concerns with the MAC and NOC



Community Engagement Activities Planned



Upcoming Community Engagement Activities

- The FAA will brief the MAC and NOC upon preliminary design completion
 - The FAA will present current and proposed design tracks
 - The FAA will seek input on the proposed design from the NOC
 - Input provided by the MAC via suggestions from the NOC
 - The FAA has built in time in the schedule to review and evaluate proposed suggestions



Upcoming Community Engagement Activities

- The FAA will develop a video of the procedure changes including a modeling of aircraft dispersion
- The FAA is planning to host public workshops in the spring/early summer of 2024
 - Will solicit and review community comments
 - Community comments will be considered via an email inbox
 - Will evaluate the feasibility and whether or not comments can be incorporated into the design.



Upcoming Community Engagement Activities

- The FAA will also conduct a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Review
 - Type of review to be determined
 - Final NEPA results will be presented to MAC and NOC
 - Community comments will be considered via an email inbox
 - Will evaluate the feasibility and whether or not comments can be incorporated into the design.
 - Anticipate NEPA completion in the Fall of 2024



Questions?

Contact Information:

Nitin Rao, Community Engagement Officer for the Regional Administrator

(p) 847-294-7375 (e) <u>Nitin.Rao@faa.gov</u>



4.3 – FAA UPDATE ON CONVERGING RUNWAY OPERATIONS





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

MSP CRO Update May 2023

Presented to:

By:

Date:

MSP NOC

Sean Fortier, Traffic Management Officer (A), Minneapolis District May 17, 2023



Federal Aviation Administration



Federal Aviation Administration

Objectives

- Describe CRO and Mitigations
- Provide brief history of MSP CRO Mitigations
- Present MSP CRO Test Procedures



What is CRO?

• Runways do not cross, however the extended centerlines intersect within 1 mile of departure end.





What are CRO Mitigations

- Required ATC procedures for directing traffic when Converging Runway Operations exist:
 - FAA JO 7110.65 3-9-8 Treat runways as though they cross

» OR

 FAA JO 7110.65 3-9-9 – Utilize aids such as an Arrival Departure Window, Virtual Runway Intersection Point, Converging Runway Display Aid



Arrival Departure Window (ADW)

- Depiction on ATC Display
- Prevents possible conflict between arrivals to, and departures from, one or more runways
- Identifies the point(s) on the final approach course by which a departing aircraft must have begun takeoff
- Area between these points identified as:
 - No Departure Zone



Virtual Runway Intersection Point (VRIP)

- Depiction on ATC Display
- Identifies the point at which the extended centerline of two runways cross



Converging Runway Display Aid (CRDA)

- Depiction on ATC Display
- Mirrors the track of an aircraft on final for Runway 30L to display a "Ghost Target" on final for Runway 35.
- Provides guidance to the controller in order to ensure appropriate spacing is achieved















MSP CRO History

- 2015 Determination that MSP CRO Mitigations were not sufficient to meet new standards
- 2016-2018 Series of test procedures were developed leading to use of dual ADWs and CRDA
- 2019-2020 Additional mitigations explored
- 2020-Present Pandemic effects reduced traffic, new ADWs were developed



MSP CRO Test Procedures

• Criteria requirements:

- Weather minima
 - NW Winds, X-Wind/Tailwind limits, Wind Shear limits
 - >2200' ceiling, and >5 Miles Vis
- Personnel and equipment requirements
- Demand exceeding 2 Runway Capacity



MSP CRO Test Procedures Cont.

- Controllers will direct aircraft departing Runway 30L to fly runway heading until passing the Runway 30L/35 VRIP
- Controllers will then issue headings for divergence as they do today
- MSP will remain in a 30L/R and 35 configuration when conditions allow



When Can CRO Be Expected?

Status Arr/Dep Status Aircraft Category Carrier Afix Dfix Centers Control Type





Going Forward

- Test procedures will be continuously evaluated
- Test procedures may be terminated, extended, or made permanent
- Updates will be provided to the MAC and NOC during future meetings
- Appropriate level of environmental review and FAA community engagement TBD



ITEM 5 ANNOUNCEMENTS

July NOC Meeting

Wednesday, July 19, 2023 @ 1:30 PM

Summer Listening Session

Wednesday, July 26, 2023 @ 6:00 PM



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAY 17, 2023

MEET the FLEET

Piper Archer

Join the Metropolitan Airports Commission on July 11 at the next **EXPERIENCE msp** event and...

- Learn how the MAC engages and supports MSP's surrounding communities
- Receive an update on the MSP Airport Long-Term Planning process and how you can provide comments during the upcoming public comment period
- Enjoy displays from airport functions and partners

4:30 p.m. | Open House5:30 p.m. | Long-Term Planning Presentation8:30 p.m. | Event Close



SCAN THIS QR CODE NOW

Get all the details at: mspairport.com/long-term-plan

