

≤

m

TROPOLI

NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE September 21, 2022

Audio recordings are made of this meeting

Agenda

1. Consent

1.1 – Approval of July 20, 2022 Meeting Minutes

1.2 – Reports

- 1.2.1 Monthly Operations Reports: July and August 2022
- 2. Public Comment Period
- **3.** Business

4. Information

- 4.1 Update on Eagan Request to the FAA
- 4.2 Update on the FAA's Neighborhood Environmental Survey
- 4.3 Draft 2023 Work Plan
- 4.4 Review of Summer Listening Session
- 5. Announcements
- 6. Adjourn



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

MSP OPERATIONS



MSP OPERATIONS



RUNWAY USE

	JUL 2022			AUG 2022	
NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS	NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS
32%	50%	12%	33%	49%	11%

	2021 JAN – AUG			2022 JAN – AUG	
NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS	NORTH FLOWS	SOUTH FLOWS	MIXED FLOWS
38%	45%	8%	42%	42%	9%





MSP OPERATIONS

CARRIER JET FLEET MIX



MSP COMPLAINTS

	July	2022			Augus	st 2022	
COMPL	AINTS	LOCA	TIONS	COMPL	AINTS	LOCA	TIONS
8,5	22	30	08	12,5	570	30	64
Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median	Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median
3.2	31	28	4	2.2	53	35	3



MSP COMPLAINTS

	July	2022			Augus	it 2022	
COMPI	_AINTS	LOCA	TIONS	COMPL	AINTS	LOCA	TIONS
8,5	22	3(08	12,5	570	36	54
Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median	Ops per Complaint	New Locations	Average	Median
3.2	31	28	4	2.2	53	35	3



0																																																
	8	8	8	80	6	6	6	6	6	6	ດ	6	σ,	6	<u>σ</u>	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ţ	T.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ţ	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	Aug-22
		-	4	4	÷	4	4	÷	-	ન		ન	ન	- - -	4	4	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ņ	- C	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ņ	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ņ	?	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ņ	Ϋ́	Ņ	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ņ	Ϋ́	Ņ	Ņ	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	γ i	Ņ
	d	t'	2	S	É	q	Ě	Š	≥	_ ⊆	Ē	60) <u>d</u>	5 B	2	S	É	q	Ě	Š	≥	⊆	Ē	60	d	t d	2	S	È	<u>a</u>	Ľ.	Š	≥	⊆	-	60	d	ti i	2	S	È	<u> </u>	Ë	Š	≥	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	60
	, e	ŏ	Š	Ä	P	a.	ŝ	Ā	ŝ		-	7	, S	ŏ	2	ĕ	Pa	e B	ŝ	₫	19	n l	-	L L	Š,	ŏ	2	Ä	P	a la	ŝ	₹	49	2	-	٦ ۲	, S	ŏ	2	Å	P	e.	Ň	₹	4	2	−.	٦۲
	•,	-	2	-		_	2	-	2			~	•,	-	~	-		_	2		2			-	•,	-	~			_	2		2			-	•,	-	2	-		_	2		2			-



TOP 10 LOCATIONS

FILED **10,273** (49%) COMPLAINTS DURING JUL & AUG

7 OF 10 LOCATIONS WERE IN THE TOP 10 FOR MAY – JUN DATA

326 (69%) LOCATIONS FILED 10 OR FEWER COMPLAINTS

SOUND MONITORING

	July 2022			August 2022	
Time Above	47	357 h 56 m	Time Above	49	383 h 46 m
	TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵		TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵
Count Above	2.68	74,021	Count Above	2.81	78,431
	N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵		N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵

501 h 47 m	402 h 58 m	394 h 40 m	372 h 54 m	257 h 27 m	408 h 08 m	478 h 27 m	495 h 41 m	482 h 42 m	476 h 02 m		526 h 34 m	416 h 08 m	367 h 42 m	334 h 74 m	287 h 53 m	370 h 16 m		3	33 m	216 h 47 m	270 h 41 m	260 h 00 m	268 h 25 m	268 h 07 m	264 h 52 m	211 h 58 m	183 h 08 m	324 h 08 m	320 h 48 m	317 h 40 m	308 h 31 m	338 h 37 m	353 h 15 m	363 h 51 m	409 h 41 m	373 h 26 m	334 h 54 m	241 h 01 m	232 h 05 m	372 h 19 m	415 h 12 m	393 h 24 m	366 h 31 m	357 h 56 m	383 h 46 m	
																	108 h 23 m	103 h 07 m	127 h 33 m	216	017					1110	183 h O											2,	23							
Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	Apr-19	May-19	Jun-19	1.11-19	01 90U	Oct-19	Nov-19	Dec-19	lan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	Mav-20	Jun-20	1.11-20		Sep-20	Oct-20			lan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	

SOUND MONITORING

120,000

	July 2022			August 2022	
Time Above	47	357 h 56 m	Time Above	49	383 h 46 m
	TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵		TA ⁶⁵ Per Operation	TA ⁶⁵
Count Above	2.68	74,021	Count Above	2.81	78,431
	N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵		N ⁶⁵ Per Operation	N ⁶⁵



NOISE ABATEMENT

Runway 17	99.6%
EMH Corridor	93.3%
Cross Day	36.5%
Cross Night	29.2%

July 2022

Runway 17	99.5%
EMH Corridor	95.4%
	04.00/
Cross Day Cross Night	34.8% 44.1%

August 2022

RUS	53.6%	Arrive - 50%	Depart - 57%	RUS	53.5%	Arrive - 47%	Depart - 60%
-----	-------	--------------	--------------	-----	-------	--------------	--------------

ITEM 2 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

ITEM 2 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Speaking at a Meeting

- Each speaker will have one opportunity to speak and is allotted three (3) minutes.
- When called upon to speak, speak clearly, state your name and address. If you are affiliated with any organization, please state your affiliation.
- Commenters shall address their comments to the NOC and not to the audience.
- Use of profanity, personal attacks, or threats of violence will not be tolerated.



4.1 – UPDATE ON EAGAN REQUEST TO THE FAA





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

Eagan Request



Amending Instrument Departure Procedures Through Collaboration – A Process Approach



17

Monthly MSP Departures with Initial Departure Fix



EAGAN REQUEST 1: AEDT MODEL TRACKS



Modeled Departures

RWY	2019	Request 1	Change
12L	81.8	91.0	9.2
17	180.2	171.0	-9.2

Average Daily Departures Average Daily Departures

< 1	< 1
—— 1 to 2	—— 1 to 2
2 to 3	2 to 3
2	



EAGAN REQUEST 1: DNL CHANGE



Eagan-Mendota Heights Corridor

- -0.25 to 0.25 dB DNL
- 0.25 to 0.26 dB DNL •



FAA Update

- Sean Doyle, Deputy Regional Administrator, Great Lakes Region
- Letter dated September 15, 2022, addendum to the agenda packet
- Formalized as a best practice

8			
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Federal Aviation Administration		Gre 230 Des	at Lakes Regional Office 0 East Devon Avenue Plaines, IL 60018-4696
September 15, 2022			
Rick King Chairman, Metropolitan Airq 6040 28 th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55450	ports Commission		
SUBJECT: Minneapolis/ Follow-up on	St. Paul International A feasibility of proposed	irport (MSP)	
Dear Mr. King:	of proposed	departure adjustm	ents
This is to follow up on the FA directing aircraft to depart from As noted in the FAA's Sentem	A's September 2020 lett n Runway 12L (instead o	er about the feasibil	ity and safety of
COVID-19, the FAA had not b proposal was feasible or safe. 1 was recently able to assess this	been able to conduct an in However, as traffic level proposal and consider in	e reduced traffic level -depth analysis of s at MSP have begues fracibility	vels as a result of whether this in to rise, the FAA
will typically be during lower-a will typically be during lower-a FAA's Air Traffic Controllers d conditions (including visibility a operating pattern would already priority of directing departures fi	proposal would be feasib ctivity periods between a etermine that appropriate and wind conditions) peri- fall within existing best from parallel runways 121	le during limited pe urrival and departure operational and m mit. At these times, practice and Runwa	riods of time. This banks, if the eteorological the proposed y Use System
We thank the MAC and NOC me process of assessing this proposal addressing the interests of commo operations at MSP.	embers for their collabor I. We would also like to unity members while also	ation and patience t acknowledge the in o ensuring safe and	hrough the nportance of efficient flight
We hope you find this information of your staff require further assista			
Sincerely,			
unan and			
Elliott Black			
Acting Regional Administrator Great Lakes Region			

No

Acting Great I

Eagan Request: Best Practice of Runway 12L/R use for Departures

FAA would like to thank the MAC and NOC members for their collaboration and patience in working through the process of assessing this proposal

Takeaways:

- The proposal follows existing best practices of Runway Use System prioritization for directing departures from parallel runways 12L and 12R
- The FAA has verified that the proposal would be feasible during limited periods of time:
 - Typically, during lower-activity periods between arrival and departure banks
 - Use also relies on FAA's Air Traffic Controllers to determine that appropriate operational and meteorological conditions (including visibility and wind conditions) permit

This collaboration highlights the importance of addressing the interests of community members while also ensuring safe and efficient flight operations at MSP



MACNOMS Analysis



MACNOMS Analysis



All Time Periods					
Runway	2017	2018	2019	2022	Trend
12L	6%	9%	11%	15%	\sim
12R	4%	3%	2%	3% ``	\searrow
17	90%	88%	87%	82%	

Low Demand (5 or fewer Arrivals; 5 or fewer Departures in 15 minute segment)

Runway	2017	2018	2019	2022	Trend
12L	9%	11%	11%	28%	
12R	5%	4%	3%	7%	\sim
17	86%	85%	86%	65%	$\overline{}$

4.2 – UPDATE ON THE FAA'S NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

FAA Noise Research and Noise Policy Review Update

Presented To: MSP Noise Oversight Committee

By:

Sean Doyle, Deputy Regional Administrator, FAA Great Lakes Region

Date: September 21, 2022



Federal Aviation Administration

FAA Great Lakes Regional Leadership

Rebecca McPherson

Regional Administrator for the Great Lakes Region

- Appointed on January 8, 2018 and serves as the principal executive representative of the FAA Administrator in the region, providing corporate leadership in cross-organizational matters and represents the FAA with industry, the public and governmental organizations
- Formerly FAA's Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations from 2004 to 2013, where she was
 responsible for providing legal and policy guidance to senior FAA officials on matters
 associated with the development and day-to-day implementation of regulations governing all
 aspects of aviation

Sean Doyle

Deputy Regional Administrator for the Great Lakes Region

- Joined the regional office on July 18, 2022 supporting the Regional Administrator and providing program and staff management.
- Joined the agency in 2016 and formerly served as the Senior Aviation Noise Specialist in the FAA Office of Environment and Energy; responsible for managing aircraft noise research and policy programs







Overview of Aviation Noise (1)



experience is different than it was in decades past



Passenger Enplanements (Millions)

Overview of Aviation Noise (2)

- Aircraft noise from 1970s is different than aircraft noise today.
- A single aircraft from the 1970s produced the same acoustic energy as 10 to 30 aircraft operations today.
- A few, but relatively loud, operations in the 1970s would result in DNL 65 dB.
- Many, relatively quiet operations today would also result in DNL 65 dB. However, the noise experience would be very different.





Overview of Aviation Noise (3)

- Recent efforts to modernize the national air transportation system have required changes in aircraft operational patterns
- While modernization is needed to increase public safety and system efficiency, the changes in operational patterns have also led to increased concern about aircraft noise
- While air space redesigns have been taking place, operations by air carriers have also increased
- Airport communities that are outside the DNL 65 dB contour are expressing concerns about aircraft noise



Each marker represents a unique complaint address



Neighborhood Environmental Survey Aircraft Noise Annoyance Results

The NES results support an observed increase in Annoyance from Aircraft Noise:

- The results show a substantial increase in annoyance for the population living in the vicinity of airports
- The increase in annoyance is generally consistent across various levels of noise exposure



he new Survey was designed to use a consistent approach across each airport community surveyed. This has allowed for an nhanced ability to provide additional statistical information about the new results, such as the 95% Confidence Limits and range (



Noise Research and Development Overview

Federal Register Notice

Provides comprehensive overview of FAA R&D efforts on noise

- Effects of Aircraft Noise on Individuals and Communities
- Noise Modeling, Noise Metrics and Environmental Data Visualization
- Reduction, Abatement and Mitigation of Aviation Noise

Includes neighborhood environmental survey results with a link to the full study

Received 4,162 comments https://www.regulations.gov/docket/FAA-2021-0037

Expanded the aviation noise website to include details on the noise survey https://www.faa.gov/regulations policies/policy guidance/noise/survey/

Have had extensive outreach on FRN including a public webinar on February 22, 2021. Webinar link https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mku13gL0xGc





ASCENT Projects – Noise focus

- 003 Cardiovascular Disease and Aircraft Noise Exposure
- 010 Aircraft Technology Modeling and Assessment
- 038 Rotorcraft Noise Abatement Procedure Development
- 041 Identification of Noise Acceptance Onset for Noise Certification Standards of Supersonic Airplanes
- 047 Clean Sheet Supersonic Aircraft Engine Design and Performance
- 049 Urban Air Mobility Noise Reduction Modeling
- 050 Over-Wing Engine Placement Evaluation
- 053 Validation Of Low-Exposure Noise Modeling By Open-Source Data Management And Visualization Systems Integrated With AEDT
- 055 Noise Generation and Propagation from Advanced Combustors
- 057 Support for Supersonic Aircraft Noise Efforts in ICAO CAEP
- 059 Jet Noise Modeling to Support Low Noise Supersonic Aircraft Technology Development
- 061 Noise Certification Streamlining
- 063 Parametric Noise Modeling For Boundary Layer Ingesting Propulsors
- 072 Aircraft Noise Exposure and Market Outcomes in the US
- 075 Improved Engine Fan Broadband Noise Prediction Capabilities
- 076 Improved Open Rotor Noise Prediction Capabilities
- 077 Measurements to Support Noise Certification for UAS/UAM Vehicles and Identify Noise Reduction Opportunities
- 079 Novel Noise Liner Development Enabled by Advanced Manufacturing

Latest Update

- New ASCENT program (GT and MIT) being set up to support Dual Standard (CO2 / Noise) Development for CAEP 13
- In development additional work on UAS/AAM and noise impacts research including evaluating white noise as countermeasure for effects of noise



Aircraft Noise Heath and Economic Impacts Research

Cardiovascular Disease

Objective: Evaluate associations between aircraft noise and cardiovascular outcome

Methods: Use existing health cohorts to evaluate link between health outcomes and noise exposure while accounting for wide range of factors

National longitudinal health cohorts:

- Medicare database
- Women's Health Initiative
- Nurses' Health Study / Health
 Professional Follow-up Study

Team: Research being conducted by Boston University School of Public Health through ASCENT Project 3*

Economic Impacts of Noise

Objective: Conduct an empirical assessment of the economic impacts of aircraft noise on businesses and on residential property values

Methods: Identify airport communities with a change in noise, then conduct economic assessments for each community. Examine how results vary among communities and economic sectors

Team: Research being conducted by Massachusetts Institute of Technology through ASCENT Project 3 and Project 72

National Sleep Study

Objective: Quantify the impact of aircraft noise exposure on sleep disturbance through a dose-response relationship

Methods: National study of individuals in communities around 77 U.S. Airports wherein sleep disturbance data is collected from individuals exposed to varied noise levels; 2year data collection effort began in 2021

Team: Research being conducted by University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine through ASCENT Project 17 and the FAA Technical Center

*Currently standing up a four year extension of ASCENT Project 3 to look at additional health cohorts and consider mental health.



Research into "Unconventional Mitigation Measures"

Broadband Sounds to Mitigate Sleep Disruption due to Aircraft Noise

- Standing up research at U. Pennsylvania under ASCENT Project 86 to examine how broadband noise could mitigate sleep disturbance due to aircraft noise
- Study leverages sleep research being done by U. Pennsylvania and ongoing efforts to improve our understanding of UAS/AAM as well as long-standing knowledge of subsonic aircraft and helicopters

Trees as a Measure to Mitigate Noise and Pollution

- University of Louisville researchers are conducting a long term study to understand how trees benefit people who live in urban areas.
- Study was set up in a community bordering Louisville International Airport.
- AEE are supporting effort, via Volpe Center, to ensure that high quality noise data are acquired by the research team. Also, provided support on emissions measurements.



FAA Noise Policy Review Status

The FAA's noise policy review (NPR) provides an opportunity to review and consider updates to the FAA's longstanding civil aircraft noise policy in response to recent research findings and to position the FAA to make additional updates as ongoing research matures

• Reevaluation of the FAA's primary noise metric and significance threshold

- Analyzing existing policy and scientific information, and the potential effects of changes to noise metrics and thresholds
- Evaluating whether unique considerations associated with commercial space and new entrant aircraft can be addressed in this reevaluation

• Planning for regular external communication and engagement with stakeholders

- Will support meaningful public involvement regarding potential future policy options
- Will increase FAA's efforts to build a strong foundation of technical understanding across stakeholder communities by building awareness of aviation noise, FAA's role in regulating noise, FAA's existing policy, and the noise policy review
- The first phase of communications and engagement in expected to begin in early 2023









4.2 – DRAFT 2023 WORK PLAN





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

Draft NOC 2023 Work Plan

1. RESIDENTIAL NOISE MITIGATION PROGRAM

- a. Review Residential Noise Mitigation Program Implementation Status
- 2. MSP COMMUNITY RELATIONS SPECIFIC EFFORTS
 - a. 2022 Actual Noise Contour Report
 - MSP Fleet Mix and Nighttime Operations Assessment
 - c. MSP Annual Aircraft Noise Complaint Data Assessment
 - d. Status of FAA Center of Excellence/ASCENT, TRB, and FICAN Research Initiatives
 - e. Update on Converging Runway Operations at MSP

- f. Update on the MSP Long Term Plan Update and Associated Stakeholder Engagement
- g. Update on FAA's Noise Policy Review
- h. Guest Speaker: Brian Ryks, MAC Executive Director
 / CEO
- i. Update on FAA's VOR-MON Program
- 3. CONTINUE REVIEW OF PUBLIC INPUT

4.3 – REVIEW OF SUMMER LISTENING SESSION





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

Summer Listening Session

- July 27, 2022, 6pm
- City of Eagan City Hall
- Meeting Attendees
 - Three residents Eagan
 - Staff from the office of Representative Angie Craig
 - FAA staff
 - NOC representatives Jeff Hart, Sarah Alig, Emily
 Koski, Loren Olsen, and Cheryl Jacobson
 - MAC staff and MAC Commissioner Yodit Bizen
- Topics discussed:
 - Runway 17 operations.
 - Review of MSP Noise Abatement Procedures.
 - Nighttime activity.



5 – ANNOUNCEMENTS





NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

Thank you!

- Brad Juffer promoted to Assistant Director, Terminal Operations and Facilities
- Thank you for your 7 years of service to the NOC!



ITEM 5 ANNOUNCEMENTS

Fall Listening Session

Wednesday, October 26, 2022 @ 6 PM Location: MAC General Office Building <u>November NOC Meeting</u> Wednesday, Nov 16, 2022 @ 1:30 PM Location: MAC General Office Building



NOISE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 21, 2022