Lake Elmo Airport
Draft 2035 Long-Term Comprehensive Plan (LTCP)

Briefing Agenda

- Airport Role & Opportunities
- Key Planning Issues
- Review of Original Preferred Alternative
- Presentation of Potential Refined Preferred Alternative
- Stakeholder Engagement & Road Ahead
- Summary
Lake Elmo Airport Role

- **Primary Role of Lake Elmo Airport**
  - Integral part of the regional Reliever Airport system
  - Accommodates Personal, Recreational, and some Business Aviation users
  - Design Aircraft is and will continue to be small, propeller driven aircraft with < 10 passenger seats
  - Role not expected to change

- **Lake Elmo Airport Context**
  - Of 83 Intermediate Airports in Minnesota:
    - 2nd highest number of based aircraft
    - 3rd highest number of aircraft flights
    - Only 4 other airports have a shorter primary runway

Aircraft Using the Airport Today

- **Design Aircraft Family**
  - Small Propeller-Driven Airplanes
  - Fewer Than 10 Passenger Seats
FAA Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) Guidance

- Runway Protection Zone (RPZ)
  - Role is to enhance safety and protection of people and property on the ground off runway ends
  - Airport control is emphasized
- FAA’s Interim Guidance on Land Uses Within a RPZ issued in 2012
  - Clarifies and tightens up former guidance on compatible land uses in RPZs
  - Several incompatible land uses in existing RPZs at Lake Elmo (roads, railroad, non-owned property)
  - RPZ Alternatives Analysis now required for triggering events (e.g., Manning Avenue Improvements)

Today’s Needs and Opportunities

**Today’s Needs**
- Failing Infrastructure
  - Runway pavements need to be reconstructed
- Runway Protection Zones
  - Have MAC-owned property to meet FAA requirements

**Today’s Opportunities**
- Manning Avenue Improvements
  - Accommodate roadway needs
- Zoning Questions for Neighbors
  - Resolve uncertainties for County, City and Townships
- Airport Improvements for Users
  - Provide longer runway per FAA Guidance
Key Planning Objectives

- Key Planning Objectives
  - Address failing infrastructure
  - Enhance safety for all aircraft operations
  - Improve operational capabilities for aircraft using the airport
  - Achieve compliance with FAA RPZ criteria
- Facility improvements; not a change in role or property footprint
Original Preferred Alternative

Alternative B

- Rationale for Selection
  - Runway Protection Zone Compatibility
    - No additional land acquisition needed
  - Ability to provide optimal 3,600’ primary runway length
    - No additional primary runway extensions contemplated during planning horizon
    - Provides certainty for surrounding communities
  - Optimizes use of existing airport property
    - Including that purchased decades ago for a longer primary runway
  - Minimizes operational disruptions during construction
Citizen Concerns

- Citizen concerns we have heard:
  - 30th Street North realignment
    - Disruption to existing traffic patterns
    - Increased traffic on Neal Avenue
  - Increased aircraft traffic levels and noise levels
    - Introduction of significant levels of jet aircraft activity
    - Impact on property values
  - Environmental impacts to wetlands and wildlife habitat
  - Overall need for the improvements – the airport is fine as it is today

Potential Refined Alternative

Alternative B1

- Changes from Original Preferred Alternative
  - 30th Street N connects back to existing intersection with Neal Avenue
  - Shorter runway length (3,500 feet)
  - Runway shifts to the north and west – further from WLT residences
  - Use of smaller Runway Protection Zones (RPZs)
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Stakeholder & Public Engagement

• Public Outreach Program
  – Pre-publication stakeholder engagement
  – Distribute Draft LTCP Report
    • Available June 22, 2015
  – Formal Public Review Period
    • June 22 – September 16 (extended from August 5)
  – Two Public Information Meetings
    • July 9 (Baytown) and July 16 (Lake Elmo)
    • ~150 attendees
  – Washington County Board Briefing (August 18)

• Consider & Incorporate Feedback
  – Meetings with Concerned Neighbor Groups
  – Tenant Update
  – Follow-on Public Comment Period in December (if approved MAC Board)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>July 9</td>
<td>Baytown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>July 16</td>
<td>Lake Elmo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County Board</td>
<td>August 18</td>
<td>Stillwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Comment Period: June 22, 2015 – September 16, 2015
The Road Ahead

- MAC Adoption of LTCP*
  - The LTCP does not authorize construction
  - The 7-Year Capital Improvement Program is the implementation vehicle of the MAC
- Metropolitan Council Formal Review*
- Airport Layout Plan (ALP)
  - Reviewed/Approved by FAA
- Environmental Review*
- Grant Funding
- Project Engineering/Design

* Denotes processes that provide additional opportunities for public input

Summary

- Real challenges to address
  - “Do Nothing” is no longer an option
- Refined Preferred Alternative is an opportunity to:
  - Address failing infrastructure
  - Address long-standing runway length deficiency
  - Address RPZ compliance without complicating the Manning Avenue improvement project or acquiring more private property
  - Provide certainly of airport footprint for municipal planning
  - Address some community concerns while still meeting MAC objectives of improving safety and increasing operational capabilities for aircraft using the Airport